

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/856,400	HILLS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mina Haghighatian	1616	

All Participants:

(1) Mina Haghighatian.

(2) Shelley Fussey.

Date of Interview: 23 June 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

ALL

Claims discussed:

ALL

Prior art documents discussed:

ALL

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant to suggest amendments to claims which would potentially place the said claims in condition for allowance and more clearly distinguishes them from prior art. The amendments suggested were such as deleting the term "prevention" from claims 1 and 29 and the term separately from claim 1. Applicant agreed and authorization was given to Examiner to have the said amendments made in an examiner's amendment for expedited prosecution..